

MORE Resource Sharing and Collection Development Meeting Minutes

November 10, 2025 at 1:00pm

Committee Members: Jodi Bird, Menomonie Public Library; Stacey Brown, Bloomer Public Library; Cecelia Cole, IFLS Library System; Karen Furo-Bonnstetter, Woodville Community Library; Rochel Karlson, Glenwood City Public Library; Leslie LaRose, Augusta Memorial Library; Monica LaVold, Friday Memorial Library – New Richmond; Elizabeth Miniatt, D.R. Moon Memorial Library – Stanley; Olivia Moris, Altoona Public Library; Krister Paakonen, Chippewa Falls Public Library; Paula Stanton, L.E. Phillips Memorial Public Library – Eau Claire; Rachel Thomas, Rice Lake Public Library; Brianna Zemke, Somerset Public Library

ROLL CALL

Present: Cecelia Cole, Monica LaVold, Karen Furo-Bonnstetter, Jodi Bird, Rachel Thomas, Briana Zemke, Lynn Gates, Jakee Johnson, Lori Roholt, Rochel Karlson, Paula Stanton, Krister Paakonen

Not Present:

AGENDA:

1. **Call to Order** – Karen called the meeting to order at 1:01
2. **Establish a Quorum** – 8 out of 13 members present. Quorum established.
3. **Certification of compliance with open meeting law** – We are in compliance with open meeting laws.
4. **Modify/approve agenda** – Paula moved to approve the agenda as presented. Rochel seconded. Motion passed.
5. **Approve minutes from May 30, 2025** – Jodi moved to approve the minutes as submitted. Rochel seconded. Motion passed.

Old Business

6. **AI Written Materials**
 - a. Karen attended a session at WLA that suggested that individual libraries should add language to their own policies that address this.
 - b. Paula mentioned that EC is considering adding language to their collection development policy
 - c. Rachel shared that RL is working on adding an AI policy to their policy manual to address collection development as well as other uses of AI and what guidelines might be put in place.
 - d. If we are in approval of this, does it go to Director's Council or the Bib committee, or does it not need to go to either of these?
 - e. Lori feels that the Bib Committee should be able to weigh in on this as there are some implications for catalogers and the committee.
 - f. Lynn spoke about her desire to bring this to the Bib Committee. There is a concern about the statement about how these items might be labeled in the catalog.
 - g. If Bib decided that they wanted to make changes, then the statement would have to come back to us for approval.
 - h. Who has final authority to determine if something is AI and to add a note to the record? Would we still need to determine a procedure for a time when something is questionable?
 - i. Rachel asked about what might happen if a library ordered a book that was 100% written by a human, but has an AI created cover image.

- j. Karen spoke about the intent of this language in really expressing our hope that libraries will not collect any items that are AI created.
 - k. Paula spoke about the legality issue – AI generated books are, at this time, completely legal.
 - l. Can AI generated materials be copyrighted? Are the copyrights we have seen legitimate?
 - m. Who would even hold the copyright on the work?
 - n. Paula shared that there is a decision that a book can have a 100% AI generated cover, but they cannot then copyright that image.
 - o. Paula would like to recommend this language as it is, and then to ask the Bib Committee to come up with a procedure for this.
 - p. Lynn said that would not be difficult, but she is concerned about the ways in which AI material will be confirmed.
 - q. Rachel told Lynn to reach out to ask if she needs more definitions or clarifications for this.
 - r. Lori shared her feeling that the onus for determining if something is AI should be on the selector rather than the cataloger.
 - s. There is some concern about the language in the second paragraph, and what it will mean for catalogers.
 - t. Paula moved, Monica seconded. Motion carried. This will go next to Bib, and then to Director's Council.
7. **Multi-library programming collaboration with traveling bins (LaRose)**
- a. Leslie is not here.

New Business

8. **New homepage option through catalog vendor**

- a. <https://more-sandbox-browse.bibliocommons.com/v2/browse> - Preview
- b. Lori shared a little background information on this: We currently use a "homegrown" home page which hasn't been changed in a long time. We don't have a lot of staff resources for making our current page look a little more updated.
- c. Preview page keeps the same overall "look" with the colors, banner, and MORE logo.
- d. Lori is inclined to make this page the new MORE home page as soon as possible. Most of the committee seemed to agree that this was a little more engaging and may be a good change for the catalog.
- e. The most prominent content on this page are materials lists. The template is designed to have staff created lists featured (it could be patron created if we want). What is the best way to come up with the list content and keep it fresh.
 - i. These lists need to be more specifically curated. They don't just simply pull in a recent staff created list – we would have to pick which lists would be featured.
 - ii. We would manually swap out the lists on a determined schedule. It is designed to feature on "big" list and three smaller lists, so 4 altogether.
 - iii. Proposal to switch these lists weekly or every 2 weeks. Currently our lists are switched out monthly.
- f. Karen is asking about creating broadly appealing lists. Maybe themed around a season or holiday.
- g. Lori has some ideas for some categories that might be useful.
- h. Rachel asked how many books should be included in a list. If we have solid guidelines, she believes it will be fairly easy to find people who are interested in creating these lists. People might need help or guidance to do it, however.
- i. Do we want to form an ad hoc committee to do this as a project?
- j. Karen agrees and thinks it would be a good idea to form a group that would create these lists.

- k. Alternately, Paula thinks that people are already doing a pretty great job of creating lists and putting them out on the catalog. What would it look like to just choose some of the available lists each week (or two) and add them to the homepage. We don't need to really have a committee to create the lists.
- l. Lori would like our committee to think about the following:
 - i. How formalized should this process be?
 - ii. Should there be a way to ask for specific types of lists or a more structured schedule of lists that we want to feature.
- m. Rachel advocated for using lists that already exist but also asking for some intention in creating lists and wanted us to think about how we can encourage libraries to keep making high quality lists.
- n. Paula asked if there is a way to determine how often a list is clicked or accessed. There is interest in using this data to determine what types of lists are more successful and of interest to our patrons. Lori shared that she has the ability to see some of the most accessed lists, and that she finds that "books in order" tend to be popular.
- o. Overall, the committee agrees that we would prefer to feature staff-created lists rather than use patron lists, as long as we have a good number of thoughtful, high-quality lists from member libraries.
- p. We will hear more about the updated home page and staff lists from IFLS in the coming weeks.

9. **Scheduling 2026 meeting dates**

- a. Do we want to get something on the calendar knowing that there may be new members joining us in 2026?
- b. Usually, our next meeting would be to make recommendations for the budget and to elect new officers.
- c. MORE Ops is already meeting on the 17th of February.
- d. Karen suggested Thursday, February 26th, 2026, at 1:00pm.

10. **Adjourn**

- a. The agenda was completed, and the meeting was adjourned at 1:49pm.