

# **MORE Resource Sharing and Collection Development Meeting Minutes**

## **September 5, 2025, 1:00 – 2:00pm**

Committee Members: Jodi Bird, Menomonie Public Library; Stacey Brown, Bloomer Public Library; Cecelia Cole, IFLS Library System; Karen Furo-Bonnstetter, Woodville Community Library; Rochel Karlson, Glenwood City Public Library; Leslie LaRose, Augusta Memorial Library; Monica LaVold, Friday Memorial Library – New Richmond; Elizabeth Miniatt, D.R. Moon Memorial Library – Stanley; Olivia Moris, Altoona Public Library; Krister Paakonen, Chippewa Falls Public Library; Paula Stanton, L.E. Phillips Memorial Public Library – Eau Claire; Rachel Thomas, Rice Lake Public Library; Brianna Zemke, Somerset Public Library

### **ROLL CALL**

Present: Cecelia Cole, Karen Furo-Bonnstetter, Jackee Johnson, Jodi Bird, Lori Roholt, Paula Stanton, Brianna Zemke, Krister Paakonen, Stacey Brown, Monica LaVold, Lynn Gates (joined late).

Not Present: Leslie LaRose, Rochel Karlson, Elizabeth Miniatt, Olivia Moris, Rachel Thompson

**AGENDA:** Paula, Jodi

1. **Call to Order** – Karen called the meeting to order at 1:00
2. **Establish a Quorum** – 8 out of 13 members present. Quorum established.
3. **Certification of compliance with open meeting law** – We are in compliance with open meeting laws.
4. **Modify/approve agenda** – Paula moved to approve the agenda as presented. Jodi seconded. Motion passed.
5. **Approve minutes from May 30, 2025** – Jodi moved to approve the minutes as submitted. Karen seconded. Motion passed.

### Old Business

6. **Multi-library programming collaboration with traveling bins (LaRose)**
  - a. Leslie was unable to be here, so Paula moved to table this discussion until our next meeting. Monica seconded. Motion passed unanimously.

### New Business

7. **Discussion of AI written materials. Should IFLS create some best practices for buying/not buying them? (Stanton)**
  - a. This arose from some concerns that AI generated materials are finding their way into our selection processes and even possibly our collections.
  - b. Can we develop some criteria or a system-wide policy or procedure to help guide our selectors.
  - c. Cecelia would love to work with Eau Claire to develop some best practices for this.
  - d. This resource was shared by Cecelia as a good place to start with basic information: <https://the-digital-librarian.com/2025/08/05/addressing-ai-generated-materials-in-the-library-collection/>
  - e. The request is to form a committee to dig more deeply into the selection of AI materials. We are not sure what the end product of this committee will be, but for now the hope is that we can start to establish best practices for the selection and identification of AI.
  - f. Karen suggested that we create this as a committee in a similar manner to the Intellectual Freedom Workgroup. However, it was decided that it might be better to create this as an ad hoc committee within RSCD.

- g. Paula asked for interested participants. The following members volunteered: Paula, Karen, Krister, Monica, Jodi. At this point, we may keep it as a smaller group until we figure out exactly what this will look like and what the tasks and purpose of the group will be.
- h. Lori will send out a quick summary of this discussion to let libraries know that this committee exists and that a consideration of AI is underway in the system.

**8. Discussion in response to the “Small Spaces” workshop. How do we reduce collections to make more space to market while offering the entire collection to patrons? How does this work across the system? (Furo-Bonnstetter)**

- a. Karen wanted to ask about the best practices regarding how, as a system, we can share resources in a way that enables us to have smaller collections and have more space in our libraries for display and promotion of materials.
- b. Some libraries are implementing some of the tips from the workshop but not necessarily following all of the recommendations that were provided.
- c. Jodi shared that the presenter did a space audit in Menomonie and if they were to follow all of the recommendations, they would lose a very large percentage of their current collection.
- d. Paula shared that she heard the presenter speak at PLA and brought back a lot of tips. She recommends isolating browsing data and applying some of the tips to specific collections or materials. By using browsing materials, Eau Claire has been able to create successful displays and promote those collections in a more targeted way.
- e. As a clarification, “browsing” materials are those that are checked out at the owning library. There is an assumption that a patron found this on the shelf, although we know that patrons may put items on hold that are owned by their home library, and there is no good way to isolate those items.
- f. Karen considered what it might look like if some libraries entered into an agreement regarding collections where one library might agree to keep a certain author and another might agree to keep a different author. It is hard to understand how this would be managed or if libraries would even agree to this.
- g. Monica shared some uncertainty about how a group like RSCD would be able to make any kind of recommendations or create best practices from a system level.
- h. Krister asked if there is any agreement or understanding in the system as to what the size of a collection is required to be or if any libraries are expected to hold a certain number of titles or anything like that.
- i. Lori expressed a preference for letting libraries have more autonomy over creating any centralized guidelines for collection size or requirements. Thus far, there has not been any demand from libraries to create any kind of collection requirements.
- j. There was some discussion about weeding practices and how to exclude items if your library owns the only copy. Paula shared that Decision Center allows you to do this, and that she uses it frequently.

**9. Scheduling 2025 meeting dates**

- a. Karen requested that we have a meeting at which the ad hoc committee on AI materials could report back to RSCD before the November Director’s Council (November 21).
- b. It was agreed that our next meeting will be on Monday, November 10<sup>th</sup> at 1:00pm

**10. Adjourn**

- a. The agenda was completed, and the meeting was adjourned at 1:38.